

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/784,227             | YAMAMOTO ET AL.     |  |

  

|                 |                 |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b> | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| BJ Forman       | 1634            |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) BJ Forman.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Jennifer Teng.

(2) Juan Carlos Marquez.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 February 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4-5

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments were discussed to overcome 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> ¶ issues, to more clearly define the invention, and to place the claims in condition for allowance. The amendments were agreed upon and are made by Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*J*  
**BJ FORMAN, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)